


**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**



----- X
:
**IN RE: METHYL TERTIARY BUTYL
ETHER ("MTBE") PRODUCTS
LIABILITY LITIGATION**
----- X

**CASE MANAGEMENT
ORDER # 52**

This document relates to:

**Master File No. 1:00-1898
MDL 1358 (SAS)
M21-88**

All Cases
----- X

SHIRA A. SCHEINDLIN, U.S.D.J.:

This Order memorializes the rulings made during the status conference held on April 2, 2009.

1. In the *New Jersey* case, plaintiff shall identify, not later than April 10, 2009, every discovery request to which it objects on the ground that the request calls for site-specific discovery. If the parties are unable to resolve any dispute, they may bring the matter to this Court for resolution. Plaintiff shall provide non-site-specific discovery by May 15, 2009.
2. In the *City of New York* case, the City shall respond to Shell's motion to exclude punitive damages by April 30, 2009 and Shell shall reply by May 11, 2009. If the resolution of this motion does not exclude punitive damages from this case, the parties may submit letter briefs on whether to


bifurcate the trial into a liability phase and a punitive damages phase.

3. In the *City of New York* case, the parties shall meet and confer to discuss whether the City must conduct individualized searches of every City agency to complete its discovery obligations. If the parties are not able to resolve any dispute, they may bring the matter to this Court.
4. In the *City of New York* case, because CMOs #4 and #6 did not discuss the admissibility of prior trial testimony, the Federal Rules of Evidence will govern the admissibility of such testimony at trial. Further, because CMOs #4 and #6 addressed the admissibility of prior deposition testimony only with respect to the three prior cases identified in CMO #4, the Federal Rules of Evidence will govern the admissibility at trial of prior deposition testimony from all other cases, including case-by-case objections defendants may raise.
5. In the *West Hempstead* and *Village of Hempstead* cases, plaintiffs' unopposed requests for thirty-day extensions are granted. The parties shall submit joint proposed CMOs reflecting the extensions.
6. In the *City of New York* case, plaintiffs shall make Dr. Whitelaw available for an additional half-day deposition to discuss the new material in his supplemental expert report.

7. In the *City of New York* case, defendants shall submit a letter brief by April 9, 2009 in support of their contention that Dr. Terry's rebuttal report contains new material. The City shall respond by April 15, 2009. This Court will hold a hearing to discuss the matter on April 24, 2009 at 10.30 a.m. Plaintiffs shall make Dr. Terry available for this hearing. During Dr. Terry's deposition, defendants may limit the discussion to the sites Dr. Terry analyzed in his initial report. Defendants may reserve discussion of the sites that Dr. Terry first analyzed in his rebuttal report for a future deposition, if necessary.
8. In the *Orange County Water District* case, defendants may continue to rely on evidence relating to the four wells that have been dropped from the case in their statute of limitations motion. Defendants shall file supplemental briefing on the statute of limitations motion by May 4, 2009; plaintiff shall respond by June 3, 2009; defendants shall reply by June 18, 2009.
9. In the *Orange County Water District* case, defendants may move to preclude plaintiff from adding 17 new wells to the focus plume designations. The parties shall meet and confer to propose a briefing schedule. This Court will hold a hearing to discuss the matter on May 15, 2009 at 11 a.m.

10. In the *Orange County Water District Case*, plaintiff shall provide accrual dates and supporting information for its non-focus plumes not later than July 1, 2009.
11. In the recently filed *Oyster Bay* and *Garden City* cases, defendants' unopposed motion for this Court to decline to exercise supplemental jurisdiction over the state law claims is granted. Defendants shall submit proposed Orders reflecting this ruling.
11. When necessary, the parties shall contact this Court to schedule the next omnibus status conference.

SO ORDERED:


Shira A. Scheindlin
U.S.D.J.

Dated: New York, New York
April ~~15~~, 2009

17

-Appearances-

Liaison Counsel for Plaintiffs:

Robin Greenwald, Esq.
Robert Gordon, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
180 Maiden Lane
New York, New York 10038
Tel: (212) 558-5500
Fax: (212) 344-5461

Liaison Counsel for Defendants:

Peter John Sacripanti, Esq.
James A. Pardo, Esq.
McDermott Will & Emery LLP
50 Rockefeller Plaza, 11th Floor
New York, New York 10020
Tel: (212) 547-5583
Fax: (212) 547-5444